

PII: S0040-4039(97)01781-4

Studies on the Total Synthesis of the Macrolide Antitumor Agent Rhizoxin. 2. Synthesis of the C14-C26 Segment.

James D. White,* Mark A. Holoboski, and Neal J. Green

Department of Chemistry, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331-4003, U.S.A.

Abstract: An asymmetric synthesis of the C14-C26 segment of rhizoxin is described in which the three stereogenic centers are derived from a γ -lactone; stannylcupration-methylation of a terminal alkyne is used to generate an (E)-iodoalkene for Stille coupling with a dienylstannane that produces the conjugated (E,E,E)-triene unit of rhizoxin. © 1997 Elsevier Science Ltd.

The promising antitumor agent rhizoxin $(1)^1$ has been the subject of much synthetic interest.²⁻⁴ In the preceding Letter we outlined a convergent approach to the synthesis of this 16-membered macrolide and we described a stereocontrolled route to a segment representing C3-C13 of 1.⁵ Herein, we report an efficient synthesis of the C14-C26 portion of rhizoxin, to which the previously prepared subunit will be attached. Construction of the trienyloxazole moiety 2 was envisioned through Stille coupling⁶ of the vinyltin species 3 with vinyl iodide 4, a strategy for which good precedent already exists.³



Synthesis of the C14-C19 subunit 4 began from the known γ -lactone 5,7 readily prepared from Dglutamic acid.⁸ The functionality and stereochemistry present in 5 makes this template ideally suited to construction of the three contiguous asymmetric centers of 4 by stereocontrolled hydroxylation. Thus, exposure of the sodium enolate of 5 to (1R)-(-)-(10-camphorsulfonyl)oxaziridine (CSO)⁹ afforded (2R) alcohol 6 in 30:1 excess over the (2S) stereoisomer.¹⁰ Methylation of this sterically hindered alcohol proved difficult but was accomplished by successive addition of diazomethane to 6 in the presence of boron trifluoride. The resultant lactone 7 was reduced to the water-soluble triol 8 which was converted without purification to its acetonide 9. The latter underwent oxidation with Dess-Martin periodinane¹¹ to aldehyde 10.



Our initial plan for elaboration of the trisubstituted alkene moiety of 4 required ketone 11, which was readily prepared by a Grignard reaction of 10 with methylmagnesium bromide, followed by Dess-Martin oxidation of the resulting secondary alcohol. However, a Takai reaction¹² of 11 with iodoform in the presence of chromous chloride furnished a low yield of iodoalkenes as a 2:1 mixture of 12 and its (Z) isomer, respectively. Fortunately, an improved and completely stereoselective pathway to 12 was realized via the alkyne 13, prepared from 10 by reaction with dimethyl diazomethylphosphonate.¹³ Although zirconium-catalyzed carboalumination-iodination of 13 under Negishi's conditions¹⁴ was unsuccessful, stannylcupration-methylation¹⁵ of this alkyne along lines reported by Kocienski,¹⁶ followed by iodination, furnished pure 12 in good yield.



Selective functionalization of the primary alcohol terminus of diol 14, obtained after acidic methanolysis of 12, could not be accomplished by tosylation. However, this selectivity was readily achieved by reaction of 14 with the more sterically demanding mesitylenesulfonyl (Mes) chloride, and the resultant alcohol 15 was protected as its silyl ether 16. Stille coupling of 16 with the known stannane $3,^3$ prepared by reaction of the corresponding iodoalkene with (Me₃Sn)₂ in the presence of Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ as catalyst, afforded the (*E,E,E*) triene 17¹⁷ in excellent yield. This material is now available in quantity for coupling with the C3-C13 portion of rhizoxin already in hand.



Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Dr. Christine Nylund and Joshua Hansen for the preparation of 3. One of us (NJG) was the recipient of a NIH Postdoctoral Fellowship (GM15263). Financial support was provided by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (GM50574) and the National Science Foundation (CHE-9316939).

References

- a) Iwasaki, S.; Kobayashi, H.; Furukawa, J.; Namikoshi, M.; Okuda, S.; Sato, Z.; Matsuda, I.; Noda, T. J. Antibiot. 1984, 37, 354. b) Iwasaki, S.; Namikoshi, M.; Kobayashi, H.; Furukawa, J.; Okuda, S.; Itai, A.; Kasuya, A.; Iitaka, Y.; Sato, Z. J. Antibiot. 1986, 39, 424. c) Hendriks, H. R.; Plowman, J.; Berger, D.P.; Paull, K.D.; Fiebig, H.H.; Fodstad, O.; Dreef-van der Meulen, H.C.; Henrar, R.E.C.; Pinedo, H.M.; Schwartsmann, G. Ann. Oncol. 1992, 3, 755.
- For the total synthesis of rhizoxin, see a) Nakada, M.; Kobayashi, S.; Iwasaki, S.; Ohno, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 1035. b) Nakada, M.; Kobayashi, S.; Shibasaki, M.; Iwasaki, S.; Ohno, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 1039.
- 3. For the total synthesis of didesepoxyrhizoxin, a naturally occurring congener of 1, see: Kende, A.S.; Blass, B.E.; Henry, J.R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1995, 36, 4741.
- For approaches to rhizoxin, see a) Keck, G.E.; Savin, K.A.; Weglarz, M.A.; Cressman, E.N.K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 3291. b) Lafontaine, J.A.; Leahy, J.W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 6029.
 c) Provencal, D.P.; Gardelli, C.; Lafontaine, J.A.; Leahy, J.W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 6033. d)

Nakada, M.J. Synth. Org. Chem. Jpn. 1995, 53, 122. e) Rao, S. P.; Murthy, V.S.; Rama Rao, A.V. Indian J. Chem. Sect. B 1994, 33, 820. f) Keck, G.E.; Park, M.; Krishnamurthy, D. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 3787. g) Rama Rao, A.V.; Bhanu, M.N.; Sharma, G.V.M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 707. h) Rama Rao, A.V.; Sharma, G.V.M.; Bhanu, M.N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 3907. i) Boger, D.L.; Curran, T.T. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 2235.

- 5. White, J.D.; Nylund, C.S.; Green, N.J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 7329.
- 6. Farina, V.; Krishnamurthy, V.; Scott, W.J. Org. React. 1997, 50, 1.
- 7. Mitome, H.; Miyaoka, H.; Nakano, M; Yamada, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 8231.
- a) Ravid, U.; Silverstein, R.M.; Smith, L.R. Tetrahedron 1978, 34, 1449. b) Hanessian, S.; Murray, P. J. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 5055.
- 9. Davis, F. A.; Chen, B. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 919.
- 10. By contrast, the potassium enolate of 5 with CSO gave a (2R:2S) ratio of 14:1. Poor stereoselectivity was observed in hydroxylation of 5 with MoOPH. Assignment of configuration to 6 was made from the coupling constant (10.2 Hz) between H2 and H3.
- 11. Dess, D. B.; Martin, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 7277.
- 12. Takai, K.; Nitta, K.; Utimoto, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 7408.
- 13. Gilbert, J. C.; Weerasooriya, U. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 4997.
- 14. Rand, C.L.; van Horn, D.E.; Moore, M.W.; Negishi, E. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 4096.
- 15. Lipshutz, B. H.; Ellsworth, E. L.; Dimock, S. H.; Reuter, D. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 2065.
- 16. Harris, L.; Jarowicki, K.; Kocienski, P.; Bell, R. Synlett, 1996, 903. We are grateful to Professor Kocienski for providing experimental details.
- Spectral data for compound 2: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ 7.57 (s, 1H), 6.96 (s, 2H), 6.59 (dd, J = 10.8, 15 Hz, 1H), 6.37 (d, J = 15.3 Hz, 1H), 6.30 (s, 1H), 6.03 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 3.85-4.05 (m, 2H), 3.80 (m, 1H), 3.50 (m, 1H), 3.16 (s, 3H), 2.61 (s, 6H), 2.53 (s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 1.87 (m, 1H), 1.73 (s, 3H), 0.88 (m, 12H), 0.05 (s, 3H), 0.0 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 160.93, 143.09, 139.93, 138.88, 137.44, 137.01, 135.93, 135.71, 131.70, 130.85, 128.03, 124.09, 120.43, 86.66, 71.92, 70.64, 56.47, 41.09, 29.72, 25.82, 22.64, 21.05, 18.04, 14.43, 13.86, 13.27, 9.49, -4.34, -4.97.

(Received in USA 15 July 1997; revised 18 August 1997; accepted 19 August 1997)